

**State Project No. H.004957 (Lead No.)**

**F.A.P. No. H004957**

**I-12 to Bush**

**LA 3241 (I-12 – LA 36)**

**St. Tammany Parish**

**State Project No. H.004435**

**F.A.P. No. H004435**

**I-12 to Bush**

**LA 3241 (LA 36 – LA 435)**

**St. Tammany Parish**

**State Project No. H.004113**

**F.A.P. No. H004113**

**I-12 to Bush**

**LA 3241 (LA 435 – LA 40/LA 41)**

**St. Tammany Parish**

### **Questions and Answers**

Q1. When developing our response to Section 8a of the SF 24-102, should we be guided by the percentages which are shown as a footnote to the Evaluation Criteria? (e.g., 50% Road Design, 20% Bridge Design; 15% Geotechnical). In other words, are we to consider the Project through Final Design and not just preliminary when assessing our response to Section 8a?

A1. Section 8a of the SF 24-102 states to list the elements of work as defined in the advertisement. While all the phases of work listed in the advertisement will not be included in the payment for the original contract, all phases are identified in the advertisement.

The breakdown of the preliminary fees shown on page 15 were provided at the request of several consultants. This data is for informational purposes only and will not be used to grade the 24-102.

Q2. Since these are all encompassing ratings, how will the BZ and RX ratings be calculated?

A2. These two categories BZ and RX will only consider existing ratings for each firm.

**Example A:** RX, Firm A has an average RR rating of 3.4, and the statewide average for RU is 3.0, this firm will have an RX rating of 3.4, not the average of 3.2.

**Example B:** Firm B has an RR average of 3.4, consisting of three scores. And this firm also has an RU average of 3.0, consisting of four scores. The RX rating will be as follows:

$$((3.4 \times 3) + (3.0 \times 4)) / (3+4) = 3.18$$

- Q3. Are the second set of fees on page 15, the total fees for the projects or the estimated fees for Final Plans?
- A3. Total *estimated* fees. The Final Plans Supplement will be negotiated based on what we find is necessary from the preliminary and initial contract.
- Q4. How will the compatibility factor be handled since there are three Lump Sum amounts and three calendar day durations, but, only 1 shortlist being created?
- A4. As only one shortlist of the five highest rated submittals will be generated for these three projects, a clarification of the procedure to be used for the formula-driven calculation of compatibility is required. An average of each of the initial contract amounts and calendar days will be used in the calculation (583 calendar days and \$1,366,595 will be used for calculation purposes only)".